

Dislocation and Loneliness in Jhumpa Lahiri's Fiction: A Study of *The Namesake*, *The Lowland*, and *Whereabouts*

Ravi Kumar Yellamati¹, P. Murali Krishna Reddy²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of English and Communications, Dravidian University, Kuppam, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh, India – 517426

² Research Supervisor, Department of English and Communications, Dravidian University, Kuppam, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh, India – 517426

HOW TO CITE:

Ravi Kumar Yellamati, P. Murali Krishna Reddy (2026). Dislocation and Loneliness in Jhumpa Lahiri's Fiction: A Study of *The Namesake*, *The Lowland*, and *Whereabouts*. International Journal of Special Education, 41(24s), 1014-1022

ABSTRACT:

Jhumpa Lahiri's novels offer a sustained examination of migration, cultural negotiation, emotional estrangement, and the search for belonging. This article studies the interconnected experiences of dislocation and loneliness in *The Namesake* (2003), *The Lowland* (2013), and *Whereabouts* (2018; English edition 2021). It argues that Lahiri represents displacement not simply as movement across national borders but as a continuing psychological condition that can persist after physical settlement. In *The Namesake*, Ashima and Ashoke experience the loss of familiar social networks, while Gogol embodies the second generation's uncertainty between Bengali inheritance and American social identity. *The Lowland* broadens the theme by connecting displacement with political violence, bereavement, marriage, secrecy, and intergenerational consequences. In *Whereabouts*, the focus shifts toward urban anonymity and existential solitude, showing that loneliness can arise even in densely populated spaces. Drawing on diaspora and cultural-identity perspectives associated with Homi K. Bhabha, Stuart Hall, Salman Rushdie, Edward Said, and Steven Vertovec, the article interprets Lahiri's characters as subjects who repeatedly negotiate home, memory, language, family, and selfhood. The study also incorporates recent scholarship on *The Namesake*, including Parameswari and Shanmuga Sundara Raj's discussion of cultural duality, generational shifts, adaptation, and cross-cultural relationships, together with Qazi's corroborating analysis of diasporic identity and cultural displacement. The article concludes that Lahiri transforms the migrant search for home into a broader inquiry into belonging, intimacy, identity, and the conditions of modern loneliness.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT:

Copyright: © 2026 Authors.
Open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: Jhumpa Lahiri; dislocation; loneliness; diaspora; migration; identity; alienation; cultural displacement; belonging; Indian-American literature.

1. Introduction

Migration has become one of the defining subjects of contemporary literature because movement across national and cultural boundaries changes not only where people live but also how they understand themselves. Diasporic narratives frequently represent the migrant as a person negotiating between remembered places and present realities, inherited customs and new social expectations, and familial histories and individual aspirations. Jhumpa Lahiri's fiction is particularly significant within this field because it renders these negotiations through intimate domestic situations rather than through migration as a purely political or economic phenomenon.

Lahiri's fiction repeatedly explores the lives of Bengali immigrants, their American-born children, and individuals who experience displacement in less directly migratory forms. Her characters are concerned with names, food, rituals, marriage, language, memory, education, work, and family, and these ordinary details become the means through which large questions of identity and belonging are dramatized. Parameswari and Shanmuga Sundara Raj's study of *The Namesake* in *Migration Letters* similarly emphasises that the novel examines cultural identity through the Ganguli family's attempt to bridge Bengali heritage and American surroundings, particularly through cultural duality, generational shifts, adaptation, and cross-cultural relationships (Parameswari & Shanmuga Sundara Raj, 2023).

The present article extends that discussion by placing *The Namesake* alongside *The Lowland* and *Whereabouts* and by foregrounding the relationship between dislocation and loneliness. The distinction is important because physical displacement and emotional isolation are not identical: a person may migrate and gradually establish a new social world yet continue to experience estrangement, while a person may remain in a familiar geographical environment and still experience profound loneliness. In *The Namesake*, Ashima's movement from Calcutta

to the United States produces a loss of familiar social networks, while Gogol's American upbringing produces a different kind of displacement, separated from the cultural world of his parents without becoming entirely detached from it. In *The Lowland*, political violence and Udayan's death create a chain of dislocations that reaches across India and the United States and affects later generations. In *Whereabouts*, Lahiri moves beyond the explicitly immigrant framework and depicts an unnamed woman whose encounters with a crowded city are marked by anonymity, solitude, and uncertain attachment.

The central argument of this study is that Lahiri represents dislocation and loneliness as mutually reinforcing conditions: dislocation unsettles the structures through which individuals recognise themselves and others, and loneliness in turn makes the absence of those structures more intensely felt. Yet Lahiri's characters are not passive victims of displacement; they continually reconstruct belonging through relationships, memory, professional identities, language, and changing understandings of home.

Review of Related Literature

Scholarship on Lahiri has frequently concentrated on diaspora, cultural identity, hybridity, assimilation, generational conflict, and the idea of home. These approaches are essential for understanding the psychological consequences of migration because they show that cultural displacement is produced through everyday negotiations rather than through geography alone. Parameswari and Shanmuga Sundara Raj (2023) identify cultural identity as a major organising principle in *The Namesake*, stressing that identity is shaped by language, customs, traditions, values, and community while also noting that cultural identity can become a source of alienation when individuals experience pressure to choose between competing aspects of selfhood.

"The novel explores the complexities and nuances surrounding cultural identity in the context of

immigration". (Parameswari & Shanmuga Sundara Raj, 2023, p. 1511)

The same study observes that Gogol's name becomes a symbol of cultural division and that the Ganguli children represent a second generation negotiating identities different from those of their parents, pointing also to the importance of family rituals and celebrations as both sources of connection and reminders of generational difference. Earlier scholarship situates *The Namesake* within wider debates on diaspora and cultural identity: Bhabha's (1994) discussion of identity and hybridity, Kral's (2007) analysis of new territorialities, and Eagleton's theoretical treatment of culture provide useful contexts for reading Lahiri's negotiation of belonging and cultural difference. Qazi (2022) offers further corroboration, identifying dual identity, cultural displacement, intergenerational conflict, hybridity, nostalgia, and the tension between cultural preservation and adaptation as central dimensions of the novel, and observing that the second generation develops a fragmented perception of ancestral heritage because its relationship with the homeland is mediated through parental stories, visits, books, and cultural practices (Qazi, 2022, p. 769).

While cultural identity has received sustained attention in this scholarship, the emotional relationship between dislocation and loneliness across three different Lahiri novels can be developed more systematically. The present study therefore moves from cultural identity toward an integrated model in which geography, memory, family, gender, language, grief, and urban anonymity jointly shape the experience of being displaced.

2. Methodology

Design

This study adopts a qualitative, interpretive research design grounded in close textual reading. It does not treat the novels as sociological surveys; rather, it examines how narrative situations, characters, symbols, settings, and relationships produce literary representations of dislocation and loneliness.

The design is informed by diaspora and cultural-identity theory, including Homi K. Bhabha's concept of the 'Third Space' (1994), Stuart Hall's account of cultural identity as 'becoming' as well as 'being' (1990), and Edward Said's and Avtar Brah's writing on exile and the distinction between the physical location of home and its lived, imagined meanings.

Participants / Sample

As a literary-critical study, the "sample" consists of primary and secondary texts rather than human participants. The primary sample comprises three novels by Jhumpa Lahiri: *The Namesake* (2003), *The Lowland* (2013), and *Whereabouts* (2018; English translation 2021). These texts were purposively selected because, read together, they trace a trajectory from explicitly diasporic dislocation toward a broader, existential experience of loneliness. The secondary sample consists of peer-reviewed scholarship on Lahiri and on diaspora theory, most notably Parameswari and Shanmuga Sundara Raj (2023) and Qazi (2022), alongside foundational theoretical sources (Bhabha, Hall, Said, Rushdie, Vertovec).

Instruments

The study uses no quantitative instruments. Its analytical "instruments" are theoretical constructs drawn from diaspora and postcolonial criticism, applied as interpretive lenses to the primary texts: Bhabha's Third Space and hybridity, Hall's model of identity as an unfinished process of becoming, Said's and Brah's theorisation of exile and home, and Vertovec's account of transnationalism. A threefold analytic distinction is also used as a working instrument: geographical dislocation (movement away from a familiar place), cultural dislocation (the encounter between inherited practices and a different social environment), and psychological or existential dislocation (estrangement experienced without crossing a national border).

Procedure

The analysis proceeded in four stages. First, each novel was read closely with attention to

episodes, characters, and images bearing on dislocation and loneliness. Second, findings from *The Namesake* were cross-checked against Parameswari and Shanmuga Sundara Raj's (2023) Migration Letters study and Qazi's (2022) ShodhKosh article to corroborate or extend existing interpretations. Third, the threefold distinction between geographical, cultural, and psychological dislocation was applied across all three novels, allowing *Whereabouts* to be read productively alongside the more explicitly diasporic *Namesake* and *The Lowland*. Fourth, thematic strands identified within individual novels (memory, home, family, gender, language, death and grief) were compared across the three texts to produce an integrated comparative discussion.

Data Analysis

Data analysis is qualitative and interpretive, combining close reading with thematic and comparative analysis. Passages were coded thematically according to the dimensions of dislocation and loneliness identified above, and representative textual evidence and brief, source-attributed quotations were selected to illustrate each theme. Because the available sources do not establish a single page-numbered edition for all three primary novels, primary-text discussion is supported through paraphrase and brief characterisation of episodes rather than through page-specific quotation, while secondary scholarship is cited with page numbers where available. Findings were then organised into a comparative table (Table 1) to permit systematic comparison across the three novels.

3. Results

The analysis produced a set of findings organised by novel and by cross-cutting theme. Interpretation of these findings is reserved for the Discussion section below; this section presents the patterns identified in the texts.

The Namesake: Migration, Naming, and Fragmented Identity

Ashima's relocation from Calcutta to the United States is accompanied by the loss of parents,

relatives, neighbours, and the cultural routines of home; pregnancy intensifies this experience, turning a moment traditionally supported by extended family into a period of vulnerability in an unfamiliar environment. Her displacement is both geographical and psychological, and she does not immediately develop a complete identification with American society. The home functions ambivalently: it preserves Bengali customs while also becoming a site in which Ashima spends long periods without the extended network she previously knew. Motherhood provides a new identity and responsibility but does not erase the experience of separation; belonging emerges gradually and relationally rather than being immediately acquired.

Gogol's discomfort with his name represents a struggle with inherited identity, and his change to Nikhil is an attempt to control the terms under which others encounter him rather than a permanent resolution of identity, since identity remains connected to family history.

"His name serves as a symbol of the cultural divide he feels."

(Parameswari & Shanmuga Sundara Raj, 2023, p. 1512)

Gogol's relationships reinforce this pattern: his relationship with Maxine offers a social world apparently less burdened by his Bengali family's expectations but reveals the limits of cultural escape, while his relationship with Moushumi initially promises cultural recognition through shared heritage but demonstrates that shared background does not guarantee emotional intimacy. Following Ashoke's death, Gogol develops a renewed interest in his heritage and comes to find comfort in his father's memory, indicating that his eventual return to "Gogol" as a meaningful part of his identity depends on reconciliation rather than rejection of the past. Qazi (2022) corroborates the symbolic centrality of the name and situates Gogol's negotiation within Bhabha's Third Space, arguing that his identity cannot be reduced to a choice between two mutually exclusive cultures (Qazi, 2022, p. 770).

Memory functions as a bridge between geographical separation and emotional continuity for Ashima and Ashoke, but it also produces a sense of absence because the remembered homeland changes over time while the immigrant also changes. Home consequently becomes unstable, referring variably to a place of birth, the place where one's children grow up, a language, a family network, or a set of remembered experiences; return does not straightforwardly resolve displacement because the remembered place and the contemporary place may no longer coincide. Qazi's analysis of the novel's first- and second-generation contrast supports this pattern: Ashoke and Ashima retain a strong relationship with India, while their children experience India through a more mediated cultural inheritance (Qazi, 2022, pp. 771–772).

The Lowland: Political Displacement and Emotional Isolation

The Lowland links personal displacement to the political history of Bengal, particularly the Naxalite movement, and traces the consequences of Udayan's political involvement and death for Subhash, Gauri, and Bela. Subhash's move to the United States is bound up with grief and responsibility; his geographical relocation does not erase his emotional connection to Bengal, and America becomes a space in which the past remains psychologically active rather than a straightforward success narrative of migration.

The marriage between Subhash and Gauri demonstrates that shared domestic space does not guarantee a shared emotional world: Subhash attempts to create stability while Gauri pursues an intellectual identity that increasingly separates her from domestic expectations, so that physical proximity does not produce intimacy. Gauri's withdrawal cannot be explained by a single cause; grief, guilt, motherhood, gender expectations, intellectual aspiration, and the memory of Udayan interact in her decisions, and her academic life becomes an alternative form of existence that offers

independence while increasing distance from family.

Bela, raised in the United States, does not personally undertake migration, yet her identity is shaped by family secrets, parental decisions, and the emotional consequences of events preceding her birth. This intergenerational transmission shows that displacement can be inherited through memories, conflicts, and cultural expectations even where the younger generation has not personally migrated, complicating any assumption that geographical rootedness automatically produces psychological rootedness.

Whereabouts: Urban Anonymity and Existential Loneliness

Whereabouts marks a shift in Lahiri's treatment of displacement. Its unnamed narrator moves through cafés, streets, shops, workplaces, and public spaces of an unnamed European city, and the absence of proper names produces a deliberate atmosphere of anonymity. Unlike The Namesake, where cultural dislocation is explicitly tied to migration, Whereabouts presents loneliness as a condition that can arise within a familiar, modern, urban environment: the city is crowded, yet the narrator frequently remains alone, so that physical proximity does not necessarily produce emotional connection. The narrator is not simply an immigrant figure but a person negotiating the tension between independence and connection, and public spaces in the novel allow observation without intimacy, with encounters that remain temporary.

Cross-Cutting Themes

Across the three novels, language operates as both bridge and barrier: Bengali signifies family intimacy and inherited culture, while English represents education, professional life, and the social environment beyond the home, so that movement between languages becomes a movement between versions of the self, particularly for immigrant children who understand a parental language without sharing the cultural memories attached to it.

Family functions simultaneously as a source of continuity and a site of conflict in all three texts: the Ganguli family preserves Bengali customs while generating generational tension; family bonds in *The Lowland* are fractured by political violence, death, secrecy, and abandonment; and familial relationships in *Whereabouts* are emotionally complex and frequently marked by distance. Death and grief operate as further forms of dislocation, changing the relationship between the living individual and a world that otherwise remains recognisable: Ashoke's death forces Gogol to reconsider his father and his

own name, while Udayan's death in *The Lowland* remains psychologically active long after the event itself. Displacement is also gendered: Ashima's migration is intertwined with domestic responsibility and motherhood and intensified by separation from an extended family network; Gauri's intellectual ambitions become central to an attempt to establish an autonomous self when marriage and motherhood fail to supply an expected identity; and the unnamed narrator of *Whereabouts* negotiates solitude, independence, and the emotional consequences of limited attachment.

Table 1. Comparative Overview of Dislocation and Loneliness

Dimension	The Namesake	The Lowland	Whereabouts
Primary form of dislocation	Immigration and cultural displacement	Political, geographical, familial, and emotional displacement	Urban and existential displacement
Central figures	Ashima, Ashoke, Gogol, Sonia	Subhash, Udayan, Gauri, Bela	Unnamed female narrator
Major source of loneliness	Loss of community and generational cultural tension	Death, secrecy, marriage, and separation	Anonymity, solitude, and limited intimacy
Role of home	Hybrid and transnational	Remembered, lost, and reconstructed	Fluid and psychologically defined
Key emotional movement	From rejection toward partial reconciliation	From loss toward responsibility and continuing memory	From observation toward uncertain attachment

Major Findings

- Dislocation in Lahiri's fiction is geographical, cultural, psychological, and existential rather than exclusively migratory.
- Loneliness is frequently produced by a gap between physical presence and emotional belonging.
- First-generation and second-generation characters experience displacement differently: the first generation

remembers a homeland, while the second generation often inherits a homeland through family memory and cultural practice.

- Family functions simultaneously as a source of continuity and a site of conflict, making belonging emotionally complex.
- Gender shapes the experience of displacement, particularly through expectations surrounding marriage, motherhood, domesticity, and autonomy.

- Death and grief operate as forms of emotional dislocation because they transform familiar worlds without necessarily changing geographical location.
- Whereabouts extends Lahiri's treatment of displacement from diaspora toward urban and existential loneliness.
- Language is central to identity because movement between linguistic and cultural worlds can produce both connection and estrangement.
- Home in Lahiri's novels is unstable and relational; it can be constructed through memory, family, language, and personal attachment.
- Belonging is represented as an ongoing process rather than a final condition.

4. Discussion

The results support the study's central objective of showing that dislocation and loneliness are mutually reinforcing rather than separate conditions in Lahiri's fiction. Read through Bhabha's (1994) concept of the Third Space, Gogol's identity is best understood as continually negotiated rather than divided into two permanently separate cultural halves, a reading consistent with Qazi's (2022) treatment of Gogol's naming and with Parameswari and Shanmuga Sundara Raj's (2023) emphasis on cultural duality and generational shift. Hall's (1990) argument that cultural identity is a matter of "becoming" as well as "being" (p. 225) further explains why Gogol's movement from Gogol to Nikhil, and his later reconsideration of the name, does not amount to a simple return to an original identity: identity is continually reconstructed through memory, family history, social interaction, and changing circumstance.

The findings also extend existing scholarship, which has concentrated heavily on *The Namesake*, by showing that the relationship between dislocation and loneliness intensifies and diversifies across Lahiri's later fiction. In *The Lowland*, displacement is no longer only a matter of crossing borders but is bound to

political violence, bereavement, secrecy, and intergenerational inheritance; Subhash and Gauri's marriage illustrates that people can share a home without sharing an emotional world, a distinction between physical presence and emotional absence that is central to Lahiri's treatment of loneliness more broadly. In *Whereabouts*, the analysis moves from a sociology of migration toward what might be called a phenomenology of modern solitude: the relevant question is not simply where the narrator lives but how she experiences the spaces she inhabits. This progression has implications beyond the study of a single author, suggesting that diasporic literary theory developed around explicitly migratory narratives can be productively extended to fiction concerned with urban modernity and existential solitude more generally.

For theory, the study suggests that Bhabha's, Hall's, Said's, and Brah's frameworks, developed primarily to describe migrant and postcolonial subjects, retain explanatory power even where physical migration has receded from the narrative foreground, as in *Whereabouts*. For practice, the findings imply that literary and cultural analysis of belonging should attend as much to relational and psychological structures (memory, language, family, grief) as to geography. For policy and social understanding more broadly, Lahiri's fiction cautions against treating successful resettlement as equivalent to emotional belonging, a distinction relevant to discussions of immigrant welfare and integration.

The study has several limitations. It is restricted to three novels and to the theme of dislocation and loneliness, leaving Lahiri's short-story collections, later Italian-language works, and nonfiction unexamined; these could provide further evidence on language, translation, and self-fashioning. Because the uploaded and consulted sources do not establish a single page-numbered edition for all three primary novels, primary-text discussion has been supported through paraphrase and brief, source-attributed quotation rather than page-specific citation, a limitation that should be addressed with exact

editions in any subsequent, publication-ready version of this article. As with any qualitative, interpretive study, the readings offered here are one plausible account among others rather than a claim to exhaustive or uncontested interpretation.

5. Conclusion

Jhumpa Lahiri's novels offer a nuanced representation of dislocation and loneliness in contemporary life. *The Namesake* portrays the cultural and emotional consequences of immigration through the Ganguli family, particularly the contrasting experiences of Ashima, Ashoke, and Gogol. *The Lowland* expands the field of displacement by connecting political violence, death, migration, family separation, and memory. *Whereabouts* moves beyond the explicitly diasporic framework and explores the loneliness of the modern city, where physical proximity can coexist with emotional distance.

Across these works, dislocation is never merely the fact of moving from one place to another; it is the disturbance of the relationships, memories, languages, and assumptions through which a person understands home. Loneliness is likewise not simply the absence of company, and it can exist within marriage, family, friendship, community, and crowded public spaces. Lahiri's achievement lies in connecting large historical and cultural processes with intimate emotional experience: migration, political conflict, globalisation, and urban modernity are not presented only as external forces but enter the private lives of individuals

and reshape their relationships with names, families, languages, memories, and selves.

Ultimately, Lahiri transforms the search for home into a broader search for belonging. Her characters repeatedly construct and reconstruct themselves across cultural and emotional boundaries; they do not always achieve complete reconciliation, but they reveal that identity can survive in complexity. Dislocation may produce loneliness, yet it can also compel individuals to reconsider inherited identities and imagine new forms of attachment. Future research may compare Lahiri with other South Asian diasporic writers, investigate male and female loneliness comparatively, examine her short fiction and Italian-language work, or explore the relationship between migration and ecological or urban space.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors, Mr. Ravi Kumar Yellamati and Prof. P. Murali Krishna Reddy gratefully acknowledge the Department of English and Communications, Dravidian University, Kuppam, for institutional and academic support during the preparation of this article. No external funding was received for this research.

7. Author Declaration

The authors, Mr. Ravi Kumar Yellamati and Prof. P. Murali Krishna Reddy confirm that this article is their own original work, that it has not been published elsewhere, and that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. The authors declare that they have no known conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, relating to this research.

References

- Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The location of culture*. Routledge.
- Hall, S. (1990). Cultural identity and diaspora. In J. Rutherford (Ed.), *Identity: Community, culture, difference* (pp. 222–237). Lawrence & Wishart.
- Kral, F. (2007). Shaky ground and new territorialities in *Brick Lane* by Monica Ali and *The Namesake* by Jhumpa Lahiri. *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, 43(1), 65–76.
- Lahiri, J. (2004). *The namesake*. Mariner Books.
- Lahiri, J. (2013). *The lowland*. Alfred A. Knopf.
- Lahiri, J. (2021). *Whereabouts* (Author's translation). Alfred A. Knopf.

- Parameswari, T. U., & Shanmuga Sundara Raj, K. (2023). Exploring cultural identity in Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake*. *Migration Letters*, 20(S12), 1510–1514.
- Qazi, K. A. (2022). Crossing borders: Diasporic identity and cultural displacement in Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake*. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 3(1), 768–774. <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v3.i1.2022.3471>
- Rushdie, S. (1991). *Imaginary homelands: Essays and criticism 1981–1991*. Granta Books.
- Said, E. W. (2000). *Reflections on exile and other essays*. Harvard University Press.
- Shukla, S., & Shukla, A. (Eds.). (2003). *The new Indian diaspora: Literary perspectives*. Creative Books.
- Vertovec, S. (2009). *Transnationalism*. Routledge.