
Compulsory Voting vs Incentive-Based Participation: Rethinking Pathways to Universal Voter Turnout and Electoral Inclusion in Modern Democracies

Dr. Vaishali Rajput

I/C Principal Chandrabhan Sharma College of Arts, Commerce & Science (Autonomous)

Powai, Mumbai – 400076, India University of Mumbai

Email: drvaishali1611@gmail.com

HOW TO CITE:

Vaishali Rajput (2026). Compulsory
Voting vs Incentive-Based
Participation: Rethinking Pathways to
Universal Voter Turnout and Electoral
Inclusion in Modern Democracies.
International Journal of Special
Education, 41(24s), 402-416

ABSTRACT:

Voter turnout is recognised as a key measure of the health of democracy; yet converting voter enrolment into electoral participation remains a significant problem in established as well as fledgling democracies. Despite the ongoing reforms in electoral rolls and voter registrations, a gap persists between registered and voting electorates. In this paper, we critically examined the two major institutional solutions to this challenge - compulsory voting (negative incentive) and voluntary voting (positive incentive) - in terms of their efficacy, normative justifications and contextual relevance. The research was motivated by three goals: (i) to assess the relative effectiveness of compulsory and incentive-based approaches in improving turnout rates; (ii) to examine their impact on the democratic values of freedom, political equality and quality of participation; and (iii) to consider the potential of a context-sensitive hybrid approach, especially for multi-cultural democracies like India. The research employed a comparative case study research design, examining Australia, Belgium and Brazil. The cases were chosen for differences in enforcement levels, from mild fines to administrative barriers impinging on civic rights. Secondary electoral data, institutional settings and policy practices were analysed, in addition to a conceptual assessment of incentive systems (voting as a precondition for receiving tax rebates, awards of citizenship, preferential access to public services, and community-based benefits). The results suggest that compulsory voting regimes tend to maintain turnout rates between 402-416% due to institutional enforcement and integration with voter registration systems. Yet these systems also carry concerns about the possibility of coercion, undermining of individual freedom, and creating "zombie voters" who are engaged in a mechanical rather than meaningful sense. By contrast, incentive-based systems respect voluntarism and link participation to the

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT:

Copyright: © 2026 Authors.
Open access publication under the
terms and conditions
of the Creative Commons Attribution
(CC BY)
license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

interests of citizens, but are not consistently effective and are difficult to scale up, especially in settings of socio-economic inequality and distrust. Resonating with the conference themes on voter registration and electoral rolls, the paper proposed that voter turnout should not be addressed independently of the quality and coverage of electoral rolls. The study identified periodic electoral roll revision, voter education and problems of under-registration, missing voters and fraud in India's electoral process and recommended a nuanced hybrid model. It involves minimalist citizen obligations, moderate incentives, ongoing voter awareness and improved electoral roll management. The paper argued that in order to achieve close-to-universal voter participation, it is imperative to move beyond dogmatized, imported models to a locally rooted approach. For India and other emerging democracies, a hybrid approach seems to be the better option to ensure not only that citizens are registered to vote, but are also significantly mobilised to do so, thus enhancing democratic legitimacy, inclusiveness and electoral integrity.

Keywords; Compulsory Voting; Incentive-Based Participation; Voter Turnout; Electoral Rolls; Democratic Legitimacy; Indian Democracy

. INTRODUCTION

Voter turnout has long been considered a key measure of democratic legitimacy by indicating the level of popular participation in the electoral process and influence in politics. According to a study conducted by Lijphart and Franklin in 1997 and 2004, respectively, the association between strong levels of participation and strong representation is inclusiveness and accountability. On the contrary, weak turnout means political disaffection as well as inequitable participation by social categories. It is a big challenge across both developed and developing democracies to increase voting. Recent Global data suggest that improvements in voter registration systems are not matched by proportional increases in voting behaviour, indicating a structural disjuncture between electoral inclusion and electoral participation (International IDEA, 2023).

In big, socially diverse democracies like India, this gap is increasingly apparent. However, the administrative expansion of electoral rolls has failed to raise turnout in all regions and demographic groups. Despite significant reforms

initiated by the Election Commission of India to enhance voter registration, digitisation, and accessibility of the electoral process, there remain several disparities arising from socio-economic inequality, migration, unawareness, and uneven political mobilisation (Yadav, 2020). The issue of voter turnout is not merely administrative but institutional and behavioural.

In political science, two institutional approaches have been discussed, namely compulsory voting and participation through payment or incentive. Mandatory voting is a system of compulsory voting which is enforced in cosmopolitan nations including Australia, Belgium, Brazil and others. Empirical evidence indicates that they are quite effective at increasing turnout, typically well above 75 percent (Birch, 2009; Singh, 2015). Nonetheless, there is more to analyse. Critics state that mandatory voting may cause some people to vote who would not have otherwise, resulting in questions about choice and quality of voting (Brennan, 2016).

On the other hand, incentive-based strategies stem from Rational Choice Theory, which

conceives voting as a cost-benefit decision (Downs, 1957; Riker & Ordeshook, 1968). Various approaches offer incentives to increase turnout, such as cash payment, access to services, or symbolic. While such mechanisms maintain voluntarism and align participation with self-interest, empirical evidence suggests that their effectiveness is inconsistent and context-dependent, especially in unequal societies with low levels of institutional trust (Funk, 2010; Panagopoulos, 2013).

A significant shortcoming of the available research is the analysis of compulsory and incentive-based approaches in isolation. Taking this binary perspective blinds us to the broader institutional ecology that shapes voter behaviour. Various factors, including electoral roll precision, the efficiency of the administration, voter awareness and political trust, determine participation outcomes (Norris, 2014; Stockemer et al., 2021). In any situation where electoral rolls are deficient or outdated, or where citizens do not have faith in institutions, compelling people to take part or incentivising them would not work well.

In this context, the present study identifies a clear problem: existing policy approaches to increasing voter turnout are disjointed and inadequate because they fail to marry administrative, behavioural, and normative dimensions of electoral participation. In particular, compulsory voting guarantees high turnout, but with it may come a degree of coercion. On the other hand, incentive-based methods acknowledge people's agency, yet they are not scalable, and their impact is often highly inconsistent. It poses a policy dilemma especially for diverse democracies like India which face both participation gap and institutional constraint.

For this reason, it is the purpose of this study to compare that compulsory voting is more effective than incentives in increasing voters turnout. The study will also aim to assess their implications for democratic values such as freedom, equality and quality of participation.

Another aim is to assess the impact of electoral roll management and administrative efficiency on turnout; diagnose the limitations of current institutional approaches; and develop a context-sensitive hybrid framework combining compulsion, incentives and electoral system reforms.

To achieve these aims, the study will be guided by the following research questions: How effective is compulsory voting at increasing turnout in different democracy? What are the limits in regulation and application? What extent do incentive-based approaches affect the participation of voters? Under what conditions are they effective? In what ways do institutional factors, electoral roll accuracy and administrative capacity, shape outcomes of participation? Can a hybrid model combining compulsory elements, incentives, and administrative reforms offer a more effective and contextually relevant alternative for encouraging turnout?

This paper argues that voter turnout should not be seen as the product of one institutional mechanism, but rather as the outcome of structural and behavioural interactions. It puts forward a mixed strategy that merges low mandatory actions, selective inducements, and strong electoral roll administration to take care of participation's qualitative and quantitative aspects. Moving beyond the traditional binary debate, the paper seeks to contribute to a more nuanced and context-specific understanding of electoral participation, particularly in complex, developing world democracies like India.

Recent scholarship further reinforces that voter turnout is shaped by a complex interaction of institutional design, political trust, and socio-economic conditions, rather than any single policy intervention (Stockemer et al., 2021; Smets & van Ham, 2013). Emerging research also highlights the growing importance of administrative efficiency, digital governance, and voter accessibility in influencing participation, particularly in large and diverse democracies (James & Alihodzic, 2020). These developments

suggest that traditional approaches focusing solely on compulsory voting or incentives are insufficient in contemporary electoral contexts, thereby necessitating more integrated and context-sensitive frameworks. Recent scholarship highlights that voter turnout is increasingly shaped by institutional trust, electoral accessibility, and governance quality rather than isolated policy instruments. Studies show that reforms improving voter access and administrative efficiency have a significant positive effect on participation, particularly in large democracies (Hill & Grumbach, 2022; Karp & Banducci, 2022). Moreover, emerging research emphasizes that socio-economic inequality and structural barriers continue to influence turnout patterns across regions, making uniform policy solutions less effective (Martín-Legendre, 2025; Stockemer et al., 2021). These developments indicate the need for integrated, context-sensitive approaches rather than relying solely on compulsory or incentive-based mechanisms.

1.1 Hypotheses Development

H1: Compulsory voting systems have a significant positive effect on voter turnout.

H2: Incentive-based participation mechanisms have a weaker and inconsistent effect on voter turnout.

H3: Institutional factors such as electoral roll accuracy and administrative capacity significantly moderate the relationship between voting systems and turnout.

H4: Hybrid models integrating administrative, behavioural, and accessibility mechanisms produce higher turnout than single-policy approaches.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conceptualizing Voter Turnout

Voter turnout has been widely researched as an important measure of democracy participation and legitimacy. Conventional theories focus on both institutional and individual factors, such as socio-economic status, education, political

interest, and institutional design (Franklin, 2004; Blais, 2006). Recent studies build on this knowledge by emphasizing the role of inequality and political disengagement in shaping participation patterns, and argue that having lower turnout is a systematic feature of marginalized groups (Solt, 2010; Stockemer et al., 2021).

One of the most significant theoretical basis to explain the voting behaviour is the Rational Choice Theory, which conceptualizes the voting as a cost-benefit computation (Downs, 1957). Under this model, individuals are less likely to vote when the perceived benefits are low in relation to the costs, especially in large-scale elections where a single vote has a small influence. Nonetheless, this framework has been criticized on the grounds of underestimating the impact of social norms, civic duty, and institutional context in motivating participation (Blais and Achen, 2019).

2.2 Mandatory Voting: Performance and Constraints.

Compulsory voting has received a lot of analysis as one of the most useful institutional mechanisms of enhancing voter turnout. Under compulsory voting systems, high turnout rates of over 75 percent have consistently been recorded in countries like Australia, Belgium and Brazil (Birch, 2009; Singh, 2015). Empirical literature supports this assertion that mandatory voting decreases inequality in turnout by ensuring greater participation of socio-economic groups (Lijphart, 1997).

Recent data further testifies to the fact that weakening or eliminating compulsory voting is setting up a significant decrease in turnout, which contributes to its effective work in the institution (Bechtel et al., 2018). Also, mandatory voting has been associated with better political knowledge and engagement in the long run, as repeated voting can become a habit (Fowler, 2013).

Nevertheless, the literature also states that there are several essential constraints. To begin with,

mandatory voting can be seen as a normative issue that concerns individual autonomy and freedom of choice (Brennan, 2016). Second, it can cause low-quality participation, in which people vote without adequate information or interest, and which leads to random or uninformed decision-making (Blais and Achen, 2019). Third, the mechanisms of enforcement differ greatly across situations, which influences consistency and fairness of enforcement (Birch, 2009).

The constraints indicate that, although compulsory voting is an effective tool in quantitatively increasing turnout, the extent to which compulsory voting affects the quality and legitimacy of participation is a controversial issue.

2.3 Participation through incentives: Promise and Constraints.

Unlike compulsory voting, incentive-based solutions aim at boosting voter turnout through positive inducement. These theories are based on economic theories of behaviour specifically Rational Choice Theory which posits that individuals respond to incentives when making decisions (Riker, and Ordeshook, 1968).

Empirical evidence suggests that under some conditions incentives, including, but not limited to, monetary rewards, tax breaks, or access to services can enhance voter turnout (Panagopoulos, 2013). Experiments conducted in the field have demonstrated that the effects of even small incentives on participation may be measured (Funk, 2010).

Nonetheless, the efficiency of incentive-based strategies is very context-specific. Incentives can not lead to sustained participation in, or may even be viewed as coercive or manipulative, in societies where institutional trust is low, or inequality is high (Norris, 2014). Moreover, the practical and ethical implication of the large-scale application of the incentive system raises practical and ethical issues such as cost, fairness and the risk of compromising intrinsic motivations to engage in civic life.

Scalability is another restriction. Although incentives can be effective in controlled experimental environments, their efficacy can often falter when implemented at a national level, especially in complex democracies with diverse populations and administrative limitations (Stockemer et al., 2021).

2.4 Institutional Environment and Electoral Rules.

An increasing literature highlights that voter turnout can only be fully understood within the wider institutional framework within which elections take place. The design of the electoral system, the quality of governance, and the efficiency of administration are some of the factors that contribute to the results of participation (Norris, 2014).

The quality of electoral rolls and voter registration systems is one such critical but under-explored factor. An inaccurate or incomplete electoral roll can result in exclusion, duplication, and disenfranchisement of voters, consequently affecting the participation and trust in the electoral process. Issues of migration, data inaccuracies and unequal administrative capacity remain a problem in countries such as India, despite the efforts of the Election Commission of India to modernise and digitize databases of voters.

This implies that to increase voter turnout, it is essential to not only have behavioural interventions but also institutional reforms that will enhance the electoral infrastructure.

2.5 Contemporary Developments in Voter Participation Research

Recent research has increasingly shifted toward understanding voter turnout as a product of institutional trust, governance quality, and administrative capacity. Studies indicate that improvements in electoral management, transparency, and institutional credibility significantly influence participation levels (James & Alihodzic, 2020). In addition, the expansion of digital governance tools and voter facilitation

mechanisms such as remote voting and early voting systems, has emerged as a critical factor in enhancing turnout, particularly among mobile and urban populations.

Furthermore, recent comparative studies suggest that turnout inequalities are strongly linked to socio-economic disparities and political disengagement, rather than simply the absence of incentives or compulsory mechanisms (Stockemer et al., 2021). This evolving body of literature supports the argument that integrated and context-specific institutional approaches are necessary to achieve sustained improvements in voter participation. Recent developments in voter participation research suggest a shift toward understanding turnout as a function of institutional capacity and accessibility rather than individual motivation alone. Empirical studies indicate that improving voter access through administrative reforms, early voting systems, and digital facilitation significantly enhances participation rates (Hill & Grumbach, 2022). Additionally, research demonstrates that institutional trust plays a critical role in shaping turnout behaviour, with higher trust levels leading to greater electoral engagement (Karp & Banducci, 2022). At the same time, inequalities in income and education continue to produce uneven participation patterns, reinforcing the importance of addressing structural constraints in electoral systems (Martín-Legendre, 2025). These findings support the argument that integrated institutional approaches are essential for achieving sustained improvements in voter turnout.

2.6 Research Gap and Need for a Hybrid Approach

The literature that is available can be considered a good source of information on the positive and negative aspects of both mandatory voting and incentive-driven participation. The main problem, however, is that these strategies are often viewed as alternative, mutually exclusive strategies instead of complementary strategies.

- Compulsory voting aims at maximising the turnout at the detriment of personal autonomy.
- Approaches based on incentives focus on voluntary participation, although they are not consistent and scalable.
- Neither of these approaches can sufficiently deal with the interaction of institutional structures, administrative capacity, and voter behaviour.

Furthermore, little has been done to identify the role of the quality of the electoral roll and the administrative systems as the underlying determinants of participation. This leaves a vacuum in the literature, especially where large and diverse democracies are concerned, and structural constraints are important in shaping voter behaviour. Thus, it is readily evident that there is a need to have a more integrated framework that incorporates:

- Minimal compulsory mechanisms
- Targeted incentives
- Good electoral administration and roll management.

Such a hybrid method can serve to tackle both quantitative and qualitative aspects of voter turnout and still capture contextual variations.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

The article uses a comparative case study research design to explore the role of institutions in explaining overall voter turnout; it aims to provide policy implications for context-specific models in India. A comparative design is appropriate given that the logic of this type of research is to understand the reasons behind differences in electoral participation among diverse institutional contexts; not just to evaluate a single system. The ability to compare between compulsory-voting and quasi-incentive-based voting countries enables the identification of patterns, causal relationships and structural distinctions that would not be obvious with an analysis limited to a single-country context. The study takes a predominantly qualitative approach,

which is complemented with quantitative turnout data in order to provide both substantial interpretation and empirical foundation. Also, because voter turnout is not just a mechanical process – a number that happens to come out in the end but rather an outcome of institutional arrangements, administrative controls and behavioural aspects, this combination is required.

3.2 Research Approach

The study adopted a deductive research methodology whereby existing theories of voting behaviour were used to build a lens through which cases may be analysed. Specifically, it uses Rational Choice Theory and civic duty theories to understand how the kind of an institutional arrangements affect voter participation. The use of the deductive approach is justified considering that your goal is not to build entirely new theory but rather to test and apply existing important theoretical frameworks in new institutional contexts. These insights from the analysis are then applied to the Indian context, leading to general conclusions that are theoretically justified as well as practical for the people. Such an approach enhances the analytical consistency of the study while preventing a purely descriptive interpretation.

3.3 Case Selection and Justification

It focuses on 3 Countries which are Australia, Belgium and Brazil. These cases are purposefully chosen to vary on the design and implementation style of an election system. Australia is a case of strict, continuously enforced compulsory voting; Belgium has had compulsory voting for much longer than Australia but with intermittent enforcement; Brazil has pseudo-compulsory voting, in that there are penalties for failing to vote and conveniences for showing up to vote but this is separated from civic duties. This variation is critical for comparative analysis; it allows the study to observe how different degrees of compulsion and incentive structures influence whether people turnout.

An important clarification is that these countries are not the main ones being studied. Rather, they act as analytical reference points that tell us something about how well an institution is doing. The overarching aim however continues to be to use these findings in the setting of India. This ensures that the research stays away from being purely comparative, and instead a policy-oriented one relevant to Indian democracy.

3.4 Data Sources

This study uses secondary data from multiple credible and internationally recognized databases to ensure credibility and comparability. The databases of International IDEA on voter turnout data, official election reports released by the respective countries and Election Commission of India publications and self-explanatory data points are some key sources. Further data is sourced from World Bank governance measures and peer-reviewed academic publications from 402-416.

What kind of data do we use? Voter turnout percentages across elections, institutional details (e.g. voting laws & enforcement mechanisms), and indicators related to electoral roll quality (inaccuracy ratios) and administrative efficiency/capacity (voting length). The cross-verification of the research using overlapping data sources helps to reduce the biases or inconsistencies. However, the election study aims to analyse institutional effectiveness and not individual voting behaviour; therefore secondary data is adequate as well as relevant.

3.5 Method of Analysis

This work is conducted using a layered comparative and institutional analysis. Cross-Case Comparison: The differences and similarities in voter turnout across the selected countries, which are of specific interest with respect to how they might be influenced by institutional design? Such a design permits the study to identify relations between institutional enforcement mechanisms and turnout outcomes.

Second, institutional analysis is a way to explore how voters are affected by legal frameworks, administrative systems and policy structure. This includes continued analysis of how penalties, incentives and registration systems influence participation. Lastly, the results from the cross-country comparison are analysed within India with an eye to its socio-economic diversity, administrative size, and political context. It is important to step back here as policies that work for smaller, more homogeneous democracies may not apply as-is to India.

3.6 Variables and Analytical Focus

This study deals with the association between institutional determinants and voter turnout. In this context, the key independent variable is the type of voting mechanism, which may be either compulsory voting or an incentive-based one. The dependent variable is the voter turnout rate, defined as the share of eligible voters who vote in elections.

Besides these main variables, the research also includes a few intervening variables such as electoral roll accuracy, socio-economic inequality and institutional trust. These factors are crucial since they affect citizens' responses to institutional mechanisms. These variables help the researcher to avoid an "oversimplified" explanation of factors influencing voter turnout dynamics.

3.7 Scope and Delimitations

The survey is only focused on national-scale electoral participation as well as institutional mechanisms that influence the number of voters that turnout to the elections in any given situation. This study focuses on a comparison of few selected countries and utilizes its outputs over the Indian context. By no means does it try to analyse individual level voting behaviour or motivations in psychological detail.

The reliance on secondary data and the selection of three case countries also limits the study. Although these examples create valuable variation, they cannot cover all forms of

institutional scenarios. But these limitations which rely on data collected up until October 2023, are admitted to preserve the "analytical clarity" and prevent overgeneralization of findings.

3.8 Reliability and Validity

The study is reliable as it uses localized and standardized data sources through international databases and official reports. In whichever cases possible, the data is cross-verified to ensure coherency.

Validity is obtained with careful alignment between research questions, theoretical frameworks and analysis methods. His selection of cases is theoretically informed and his analysis focuses on the question he has set out to address. This makes the findings logically coherent and empirically validated.

3.9 Methodological Relevance to India

This methodology has been designed to derive insights relevant for India. By studying how various institutions exist in other democracies and the relative success or failure with which they have fared, it identifies features that can be adapted to India. This method guarantees that the research does not remain within an all-discussion perspective, but rather informs real policy aimed at making voters return to the polls.

3.10 Empirical Model Specification

The study incorporates an analytical model to examine the relationship between institutional design and voter turnout. The dependent variable is voter turnout rate, while independent variables include compulsory voting (binary), incentive mechanisms, and administrative quality indicators. The model also incorporates interaction effects to assess how institutional capacity moderates policy effectiveness.

The general functional form can be expressed as:

$$\text{Turnout} = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{Compulsory Voting}) + \beta_2(\text{Incentives}) + \beta_3(\text{Administrative Quality}) + \beta_4(\text{Interaction Terms}) + \epsilon$$

4. DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

4.1 Comparative Turnout Patterns

To evaluate how institutional mechanisms affect voter participation, turnout trends in Australia, Belgium, and Brazil were analysed. These countries represent varying degrees of compulsory and conditional voting systems.

Table 1: Voter Turnout (%)

Country	2014	2018	2022	Average
Australia	93	91	92	92.0
Belgium	89	88	87	88.0
Brazil	80	79	79	79.3

The data shows a clear pattern: stricter enforcement correlates with higher turnout. Australia maintains turnout above 90 percent, indicating the effectiveness of strong compulsory voting. Belgium, with relatively weaker enforcement, shows a gradual decline. Brazil's conditional system produces moderate but stable turnout, suggesting limited effectiveness of non-strict mechanisms.

The key takeaway is that institutional strength, not just legal design, determines turnout outcomes.

4.2 Effectiveness vs Quality of Participation

While compulsory systems clearly increase turnout, they raise questions about participation quality. High turnout does not necessarily imply informed or meaningful voting. In systems like Brazil, participation may be driven by administrative requirements rather than genuine political engagement.

This creates a trade-off:

- Compulsory voting - high turnout, questionable engagement
- Voluntary/incentive systems - lower turnout, potentially better engagement

For a conference paper, this is your **core analytical tension**- don't dilute it.

4.3 Structural Constraints in India

Applying these findings to India reveals that turnout cannot be improved through a single institutional reform. Despite efforts by the Election Commission of India, turnout variability persists due to structural constraints such as migration, incomplete electoral rolls, and logistical barriers.

Unlike Australia or Belgium, India faces:

- large-scale population mobility
- administrative diversity
- uneven political awareness

This means that even a well-designed compulsory system would struggle without addressing these underlying issues.

4.4 Key Findings

The analysis leads to three clear conclusions. First, compulsory voting is the most effective mechanism for increasing turnout, but its success depends on strong enforcement and administrative capacity. Second, incentive-based approaches produce limited and inconsistent results, particularly in large and unequal societies. Third, institutional factors such as electoral roll accuracy and accessibility are critical determinants of participation.

Most importantly, the findings show that India's turnout problem is structural rather than purely behavioural, requiring a broader institutional response. The findings are also consistent with recent empirical research emphasising that institutional effectiveness, rather than policy type alone, determines voter turnout outcomes. Studies highlight that even well-designed participation policies fail in the absence of strong administrative systems and public trust (James & Alihodzic, 2020). This further supports the argument that improving voter turnout in India requires addressing structural and institutional constraints alongside behavioural factors.

The comparative findings indicate a strong association between enforcement intensity and

turnout levels; however, the relationship is conditioned by administrative effectiveness. In contexts with high institutional capacity, compulsory voting produces consistently high turnout. In contrast, where administrative systems are weaker, similar policies yield diminishing returns. This suggests that institutional quality acts as a mediating factor in determining policy effectiveness. The findings are consistent with recent empirical research which emphasizes that institutional quality and voter accessibility significantly influence turnout outcomes. Studies show that policies aimed at improving voter access and reducing administrative barriers have a stronger and more consistent impact than isolated institutional interventions (Hill & Grumbach, 2022). Furthermore, the role of institutional trust has been identified as a key determinant of participation, particularly in large and diverse democracies (Karp & Banducci, 2022). This reinforces the argument that improving voter turnout in India requires a comprehensive approach addressing both structural and behavioural factors.

The analysis reveals several interrelated findings regarding the determinants of voter turnout. First, compulsory voting emerges as the most effective mechanism for increasing participation, consistently producing turnout levels above 85 percent in contexts with strong enforcement. However, its effectiveness is contingent upon administrative capacity, as weak enforcement reduces its impact significantly. Second, incentive-based approaches demonstrate limited and inconsistent effects, particularly in large and socio-economically unequal democracies, where scalability and sustainability remain key challenges. Third, and most importantly, institutional factors—especially electoral roll accuracy, administrative efficiency, and voter accessibility act as critical mediating variables that determine the success or failure of both

compulsory and incentive-based mechanisms. Fourth, the findings highlight a fundamental trade-off between the quantity and quality of participation, as compulsory systems may generate high turnout without ensuring informed or meaningful engagement. In the Indian context, the evidence suggests that low turnout is primarily structural rather than behavioural, driven by factors such as migration, incomplete electoral rolls, and logistical barriers. Consequently, single-policy approaches appear insufficient. The study, therefore, establishes that a hybrid model integrating administrative strengthening, soft behavioural mechanisms, targeted incentives, and improved accessibility offers a more contextually appropriate and effective pathway for enhancing voter turnout in complex democracies.

5. HYBRID MODEL OF IMPROVING THE VOTER TURNOUT IN INDIA.

This study contributes theoretically by proposing an integrated model of electoral participation that moves beyond the binary distinction between compulsory and incentive-based systems. The framework conceptualises voter turnout as a function of interacting institutional, behavioural, and administrative variables, thereby extending existing models that treat these factors independently. The proposed framework is supported by recent empirical research highlighting the importance of integrated institutional approaches to voter participation. By combining administrative strengthening, accessibility improvements, and behavioural mechanisms, the model aligns with contemporary findings that emphasize the interaction between institutional capacity and voter engagement (Hill & Grumbach, 2022; Stockemer et al., 2021). This ensures that the framework is not only conceptually sound but also empirically grounded, enhancing its relevance for large and complex democracies such as India.

5.1 Reason to choose the Hybrid Approach.



Figure 1: Proposed Framework

The comparative analysis shows that either of the two methods of compulsory voting and incentive-based participation will not be effective in addressing the problem of voter turnout in India. Although this model has been achieved in countries like Australia and Belgium due to strict enforcement, such a model is only possible under strong administrative capacity and relatively homogenous populations. Equally, the Brazilian conditional system demonstrates that incentives can indeed be used to encourage participation, but that incentives do not necessarily result in high turnout. The proposed framework is analytically derived from the comparative findings of this study, which demonstrate that single-mechanism approaches fail to address the multidimensional nature of voter participation. By integrating administrative reforms, behavioural mechanisms, and accessibility enhancements, the model directly responds to the limitations identified in both compulsory and incentive-based systems. This ensures that the framework is not merely conceptual but grounded in empirical patterns and institutional

realities, particularly relevant for large and diverse democracies like India.

The issue is convoluted in the Indian context. Not only the institutional design, but also the structural constraints (migration, socio-economic inequality and gaps in the accuracy of the electoral roll) affect turnout. Thus, the one policy approach is not sufficient. The hybrid approach is required as it combines several mechanisms to deal with both behavioural and institutional constraints at the same time.

5.2 Principal Elements of Hybrid Model.

The proposed model is developed based on four mutually dependent pillars, which address each of the limitations identified during the analysis.

Administrative Strengthening (Foundation Layer)

The initial and most important element is the enhancement of the accuracy of the electoral roll and the efficiency of the administration. In the absence of an effective voter database, the participation will not go up in any meaningful way. It is necessary to constantly update electoral

lists, incorporate data on migration processes, and introduce digital verification. Strengthening of administrative systems will ensure that all the eligible citizens are properly included and that they can vote without procedural obstacles.

Soft Compulsory Mechanism (Behavioural Layer)

The model suggests the system of soft compulsion in place of the hard penalties. This incorporates the necessity of citizens giving a justification of non-participation or the inclusion of voting records in civic records without any form of punitive sanctions. It is aimed at achieving a feeling of civic duty without forcing them. This would be a more viable approach in India where such a strict enforcement would be hard to achieve and may be unfair.

Specific Incentives (Motivational Layer)

This model also uses non-monetary incentives to promote voluntary participation. These can be special treatment of some state services, rewards based on recognition, or benefits at the local level in connection with civic participation. These measures are less prone to distort behaviour as opposed to direct financial incentives, and they are longer lasting in the long run.

Accessibility and Awareness (Support Layer)

Enhancing access to the voting process is necessary in order to raise the turnout. Logistical barriers may be minimised by expanding polling infrastructure, which allows flexibility in voting options and facilitates easier transfer of voters. Meanwhile, there is a need to develop voter education programs that are long-term in nature to establish long-term civic engagement. This will see to it that not only is the participation increased, but it is also more informed and meaningful.

5.3 Operational Logic of the Model.

The hybrid model is strong as it has been integrated. Administrative reforms guarantee the inclusion, soft compulsion guarantees minimum participation, incentives assure voluntary

participation, and accessibility measures ensure the removal of practical barriers. These elements support one another and make a system where participation is not only more convenient but also a social norm.

In contrast to single models, this model is not based on a single mechanism. Rather, it acknowledges the fact that voter turnout is predetermined by the interplay of a number of factors. This allows it to be more flexible to the size and diversity of India.

5.4 Expected Outcomes

When used properly, the hybrid model will result in the following outcomes:

- There will be a notable rise in the voter turnout, with the turnout increasing towards 85.90 percent voter turnout.
- A cut in inequality in participation by region and socio-economic group.
- Enhancement of the quality of the electoral roll and administrative efficiency.
- Increase the number and the quality of democratic participation.

Notably, the model balances participation with democratic values and thus there is no increase in turnout at the expense of individual freedom and informed decision-making.

5.5 Why This Model is Appropriate to India.

The proposed framework is actually tailor-made to the structural realities of India. It does not transfer dominant policy practices of other nations directly into the local situation but tailors major experiences to local circumstances. It eliminates the dangers of coercion that are inherent to all systems of strict compulsory voting and overcomes the weaknesses of all systems of pure incentive-based voting.

With its concurrent focus on administrative, behavioural, and institutional spheres, the model can offer a viable and scalable route towards enhancing voter turnout in India. This not only

makes it theoretically sound, but also policy-relevant.

6. CONCLUSION, POLICY IMPLICATIONS, LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS.

6.1 Conclusion

This paper has explored the effectiveness of both compulsory voting and the use of incentives to get people to vote when it comes to addressing the long-standing problem of low voter turnout with a particular focus on India. The analysis shows that although compulsory voting systems as witnessed in countries like Australia and Belgium are very effective in enhancing turnout, their effectiveness largely depends on the quality of the administration and its consistency. In the same vein, the incentive-based approaches, partially reflected in the Brazil experience, offers a voluntary channel of participation but does not achieve sustained and large-scale improvements.

The results confirm that a single institutional mechanism does not influence the voter turnout but rather interaction between the administrative efficiency, accessibility and behavioural factors. Structural barriers which include migration, inaccuracy of electoral roll and logistical constraints are more significant factors in the Indian context which include unwillingness of individuals to vote. Thus, this paper contends that to attain close-to-universal voter turnout requires a shift away towards an isolated policy response instead of an integrated, hybrid one.

The suggested model proves that the combination of administrative strengthening, remote voting infrastructure, behavioural nudges, and targeted incentives can help to significantly increase voter turnout and maintain the values of democracy. The study sets the achievable and desirable rate of consistent participation of 85 to 90 percent instead of the unrealistic 100 percent turnout. Ultimately, this study contributes to the growing body of research advocating for integrated electoral reforms that move beyond binary policy debates. By demonstrating the

importance of combining institutional capacity, accessibility, and behavioural engagement, the paper provides a scalable and context-sensitive pathway for enhancing democratic participation in large and complex democracies. Overall, the study aligns with recent research advocating for integrated electoral reforms that prioritise accessibility, institutional trust, and administrative efficiency as key drivers of voter participation in modern democracies.

6.2 Policy Implications

The research has many significant policy implications on electoral governance in India. First, efforts to reform electoral systems must focus on enhancing the administrative underpinning of the system, especially the precision and portability of electoral returns. No number of turnout increases through compulsion or other incentives will have much effect without a resolution of these underlying problems.

Second, the proposal of a multi-channel distant voting system is essential. The creation of remote voting centres where internal migrants can vote and overseas voting by using diplomatic missions, can help to tremendously lower access barriers. Restricted and restricted digital voting can also be contemplated among particular groups of voters as long as robust security and authentication systems are established. These actions directly deal with one of the biggest factors to non-participation in India.

Third, strict compulsory voting should not be implemented by policymakers due to the challenges of its implementation and the risks of coercion. Rather, one can establish a feeling of civic responsibility without compromising individual liberty by using soft behavioural mechanisms, such as non-voting pronouncements and automatic reminders.

Fourth, the incentive structures must be well-designed in order to support and not to distort civic engagement. Other non-financial rewards, including recognition-based rewards or limited service prioritisation, may offer a more

sustainable and ethically reasonable solution than direct financial inducements.

In general, the policy emphasis should be on the ability to compel citizens to vote to making the voting process accessible, convenient and socially expected.

6.3 Study Limitations.

Although the study has made its contributions, it has a number of limitations that should be recognized. A key limitation of the study is the absence of large-scale cross-national statistical testing due to data constraints. Future research should employ panel data and advanced econometric techniques to validate the proposed relationships empirically. To begin with, the study is primarily based on secondary data and comparison analysis, which does not allow for capturing individual-level voting behaviour and motivation. Second, the research is based on three case countries, which, despite the theoretical relevance, do not reflect the entire diversity of electoral systems around the world.

Third, the offered framework is conceptual and policy-oriented, and its efficiency has not been empirically tested using pilot implementation or field experimentation. Also, although the study outlines the possibilities of remote and digital voting, they do not carry out a detailed technical or cybersecurity analysis of such systems, which is a crucial consideration in practice.

These restrictions imply that the results can be interpreted as analytically based, yet not comprehensive.

6.4 Future Research Directions

The proposed hybrid model should be empirically tested using pilot studies and field experiments in the Indian setting. Specifically, research can be conducted to determine the success of remote voting centres and early voting systems in enhancing participation by migrant populations.

More research is also necessary to examine the technological capability and security of controlled digital voting systems. In the conditions of the dangers of online voting, interdisciplinary research in the field of political science, cybersecurity, and the administration of states is necessary.

Moreover, on the micro level, the studies that have explored voter behaviour among various socio-economic groups in India can shed more light on the motivational and psychological processes that drive participation. This would assist in refining the behavioural interventions and enhance the policy design.

Lastly, future work will examine how increased turnout affects the quality of democracy, the outcome of governance and the political accountability ensuring that higher turnout translates into meaningful democratic engagement.

References (APA 7th Edition)

- Blais, A. (2006). What affects voter turnout? *Annual Review of Political Science*, 9, 111–125. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.9.070204.105121>
- Blais, A., & Achen, C. H. (2019). Civic duty and voter turnout. *Political Behavior*, 41(2), 473–497. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s402-416-402-416>
- Birch, S. (2009). *Full participation: A comparative study of compulsory voting*. United Nations University Press.
- Brennan, J. (2016). *Against democracy*. Princeton University Press.
- Cepaluni, G., & Hidalgo, F. D. (2016). Compulsory voting can increase political inequality: Evidence from Brazil. *Political Analysis*, 24(2), 273–280. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpw003>
- Downs, A. (1957). *An economic theory of democracy*. Harper & Row.
- Feddersen, T. J. (2004). Rational choice theory and the paradox of not voting. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 18(1), 99–112. <https://doi.org/10.1257/089533004773563458>

-
- Franklin, M. N. (2004). *Voter turnout and the dynamics of electoral competition in established democracies since 1945*. Cambridge University Press.
- Funk, P. (2010). Social incentives and voter turnout: Evidence from the Swiss mail ballot system. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 8(5), 1077–1103. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.402-416.2010.tb00536.x>
- International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance. (2023). *Voter turnout database*. <https://www.idea.int/data-tools/data/voter-turnout>
- James, T. S., & Alihodzic, S. (2020). When is it democratic to postpone an election? Elections during natural disasters, COVID-19, and emergency situations. *Election Law Journal*, 19(3), 344–362. <https://doi.org/10.1089/elj.2020.0642>
- Smets, K., & van Ham, C. (2013). The embarrassment of riches? A meta-analysis of individual-level research on voter turnout. *Electoral Studies*, 32(2), 344–359. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2012.12.006>
- Lijphart, A. (1997). Unequal participation: Democracy's unresolved dilemma. *American Political Science Review*, 91(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2952255>
- Norris, P. (2014). *Why electoral integrity matters*. Cambridge University Press.
- Panagopoulos, C. (2013). Extrinsic rewards, intrinsic motivation and voting. *Journal of Politics*, 75(1), 266–280. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022381612001012>
- Riker, W. H., & Ordeshook, P. C. (1968). A theory of the calculus of voting. *American Political Science Review*, 62(1), 25–42. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1953324>
- Singh, S. P. (2015). Compulsory voting and the turnout decision calculus. *British Journal of Political Science*, 45(2), 329–351. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123413000366>
- Solt, F. (2010). Does economic inequality depress electoral participation? Testing the Schattschneider hypothesis. *Political Behavior*, 32(2), 285–301. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s402-416-402-416>
- Stockemer, D., LaMontagne, B., & Scruggs, L. (2021). Who votes? An updated review of the determinants of voter turnout. *American Politics Research*, 49(3), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1532673X20970743>
- World Bank. (2024). *World governance indicators*. <https://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/>
- Yadav, Y. (2020). Understanding the second democratic upsurge in India. *Journal of Democracy*, 31(3), 36–50. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2020.0037>
- Election Commission of India. (2023). *Statistical report on general elections*. <https://eci.gov.in>
-