The Impact of Evidence-Based Practice on Healthcare Outcomes Across Health Professions

[1]Maryam Hussein Hummadi, [2]Hanadi Zaid Alfaraj, [3]Taghreed Ghurmallah Ali Al Zahrani, [4]Turki ibrahim Aljuaid, [5]Mohammed Rajan Sh Alshammari, [6]Abdullah Dughaim O Alshammari, [7]Manal Abdullah Alanazi, [8]Bader Mohammed M Alharbi

[1]Cssd Specialist
Prince Sultan Military Medical City
[2]Cssd Specialist
Prince Sultan Military Medical City
[3]CSSD Technician
Prince Sultan Military Medical City
[4]Health admin III
national guard health affairs
[5]Paramedic Technician
Ministry of National Guard
[6]EMT
National guard health affairs
[7]Radiology Technician
Prince Sultan Military Medical City
[8]Paramedic Technician
Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs

HOW TO CITE:

Maryam Hussein Hummadi, Hanadi zaid Alfaraj, Taghreed Ghurmallah Ali Al Zahrani, Turki ibrahim Aljuaid, Mohammed Rajan Sh Alshammari, Abdullah Dughaim O Alshammari, Manal Abdullah Alanazi, Bader Mohammed M Alharbi (2026). The Impact of Evidence-Based Practice on Healthcare Outcomes Across Health Professions. International Journal of Special Education, 41(17s), 325-339

ABSTRACT:

Evidence-based practice (EBP) has emerged as the organizing principle of contemporary healthcare delivery, reshaping how physicians, nurses, pharmacists, rehabilitation therapists, and allied health professionals translate research into bedside and community action. This paper synthesizes the theoretical foundations, cross-professional applications, and measurable outcome effects of EBP, and situates that synthesis within the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's Health Sector Transformation Program under Vision 2030. Drawing on Donabedian's structure-process-outcome model, the Iowa and ACE Star models of knowledge transformation, and interprofessional competency frameworks, the paper develops an original synthesis instrument, the IMPACT-EBP framework, comprising six interacting domains: Infrastructure, Mentorship, Policy, Assessment, Collaboration, and Technology. The paper examines EBP adoption across medicine, nursing, pharmacy, physical and respiratory therapy, laboratory and radiologic sciences, dentistry, optometry and audiology, paramedicine, and public health, and reviews the empirical relationship between EBP fidelity and outcomes spanning mortality, morbidity, patient safety indicators, length of stay, cost, and workforce wellbeing. Particular attention is paid to regulatory and accreditation architecture in Saudi Arabia, including the Saudi Commission for Health Specialties

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT:

Copyright: © 2026 Authors.

Open access publication underthe terms and conditions of the Creative CommonsAttribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

(SCFHS), the Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI), the Saudi Food and Drug Authority (SFDA), the Nphies claims and eligibility platform, the Mumaris+ licensing system, and the research infrastructure of the King Abdullah International Medical Research Center (KAIMRC). The paper concludes that sustained outcome gains depend not on isolated EBP training but on the simultaneous alignment of structural, cultural, regulatory, and technological conditions, and it offers policy and practice recommendations for health system leaders, professional regulators, and front-line clinical teams.

Keywords: evidence-based practice; healthcare outcomes; interprofessional collaboration; patient safety; Vision 2030; health workforce; quality improvement; knowledge translation

INTRODUCTION

Healthcare systems worldwide are under sustained pressure to demonstrate that the care they deliver is safe, effective, timely, efficient, equitable, and patient-centered. Evidence-based practice (EBP) — the conscientious, explicit, and judicious integration of the best available research evidence with clinical expertise and patient values and circumstances — has become the principal mechanism through which health professions attempt to close the gap between what science demonstrates and what practice delivers. First articulated in modern form within medicine during the early 1990s, EBP has since diffused across nursing, pharmacy, dentistry, physical and occupational therapy, respiratory care, laboratory and radiologic sciences, optometry, audiology, paramedicine, nutrition, and public health, each profession adapting the core paradigm to its own scope of practice, evidentiary base, and decision context.

The premise of this paper is that EBP does not act on healthcare outcomes as a single, uniform intervention. Rather, it operates as a layered system-level capability whose effect on mortality, morbidity, safety events, cost, and workforce sustainability depends on the presence of enabling infrastructure, professional mentorship, coherent policy, rigorous assessment, genuine interprofessional collaboration, and appropriate technology. Where any one of these enabling conditions is weak, the outcome benefit of EBP is attenuated even when clinicians individually possess strong appraisal skills and positive attitudes toward research utilization.

This paper situates the discussion within the healthcare transformation trajectory of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, where Vision 2030 has repositioned the health sector around value-based care, integrated service delivery, and a shift from volume to outcomes. Saudi regulatory and quality bodies — the Saudi Commission for Health Specialties (SCFHS), the Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI), the Saudi Food and Drug Authority (SFDA), the national claims platform Nphies, the professional licensing system Mumaris+, and the research infrastructure coordinated through the King Abdullah International Medical Research Center (KAIMRC) — together constitute an emerging national architecture for institutionalizing EBP at scale.

1.1 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this paper is threefold: first, to synthesize the theoretical foundations of EBP as they apply across health professions

rather than within medicine alone; second, to examine the empirical relationship between EBP implementation and measurable healthcare outcomes across clinical, safety, economic, and workforce domains; and third, to propose an integrative framework — IMPACT-EBP — that can guide health system leaders, professional regulators, and front-line teams in strengthening the conditions under which EBP translates into outcome improvement.

1.2 Significance

The significance of this synthesis lies in its cross-professional lens. Much of the existing literature on EBP outcomes is profession-specific, examining nursing units, physician practices, or pharmacy services in isolation. Yet contemporary care delivery, particularly within Saudi Arabia's integrated care models and multispecialty hospital networks, is inherently interprofessional. A framework that accounts only for physician or nurse behavior will systematically understate both the barriers to and the benefits of EBP adoption in real clinical environments where care is co-produced across professional boundaries

.

> Evidence-based practice is not a set of skills possessed by individual clinicians; it is a property of the system in which those clinicians work.
> — Framing principle adopted in this review

2 THEORETICAL AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

This review draws on several established theoretical anchors before proposing an integrative model suited to cross-professional analysis.

2.1 Donabedian's Structure-Process-Outcome Model

Donabedian's classic triad remains the most widely used organizing model for healthcare quality assessment. Structure refers to the setting in which care occurs, including staffing, equipment, and organizational characteristics; process refers to the actual delivery of care, including adherence to evidence-based protocols; and outcome refers to the resulting effects on patient health status. Applied to EBP, the model clarifies that evidence-based protocols (process) can only produce favorable outcomes when adequate structural conditions — staffing ratios, access to point-of-care evidence resources, and functioning clinical information systems — are in place.

2.2 Knowledge-to-Action and Knowledge Transformation Models

The Iowa Model of Evidence-Based Practice to Promote Quality Care and the ACE Star Model of Knowledge Transformation describe the sequential stages through which raw research evidence becomes summarized, translated into practice recommendations, integrated into clinical systems, and evaluated for impact. These models emphasize that knowledge translation is iterative rather than linear: evaluation findings feed back into problem identification, generating continuous improvement cycles rather than one-time implementation projects.

2.3 Interprofessional Competency Frameworks

The Interprofessional Education Collaborative (IPEC) core competency domains — values and ethics for interprofessional practice, roles and responsibilities, interprofessional communication, and teams and teamwork — provide the behavioral scaffolding through which EBP is operationalized in multi-professional settings. TeamSTEPPS, developed originally for military and civilian healthcare teams, complements

IPEC by specifying communication and mutual-support structures, such as structured handoffs and closed-loop communication, that determine whether evidence-based protocols are executed reliably under real clinical conditions.

2.4 Reason's Swiss Cheese Model of Error Causation

James Reason's model conceptualizes safety failures as the alignment of latent weaknesses across multiple organizational layers. Applied to EBP, the model illustrates why isolated evidence-based interventions are insufficient protection against harm: a single evidence-based checklist can be defeated by weaknesses in supervision, equipment, communication, or scheduling elsewhere in the system. EBP therefore functions best as one of several overlapping defensive layers rather than a stand-alone safeguard.

2.5 The IMPACT-EBP Framework

Building on the models above, this paper proposes IMPACT-EBP, a six-domain integrative framework designed specifically to capture the conditions under which evidence-based practice generates measurable outcome improvement across, rather than within, individual health professions.

Domain	Definition	Illustrative Indicators
Infrastructure	Physical, informational, and staffing resources that make evidence accessible and actionable at the point of care.	EHR-embedded order sets; staffing ratios; library and database access
Mentorship	Availability of EBP champions, advanced-practice mentors, and structured coaching for front-line staff.	Unit-based EBP champions; journal clubs; preceptorship hours
Policy	Institutional and national regulation that mandates, incentivizes, or monitors evidence-based standards.	CBAHI accreditation standards; SCFHS scope-of-practice policy; clinical practice guidelines
Assessment	Systematic measurement of both adherence to evidence-based processes and resulting outcomes.	Audit-and-feedback cycles; quality dashboards; outcome registries
Collaboration	Genuine interprofessional teamwork in the interpretation and application of evidence.	Interprofessional rounds; shared care plans; joint case conferences
Technology	Digital tools, decision support, and analytics that scale evidence delivery and reduce variability.	Clinical decision support; Nphies-linked analytics; AI-assisted triage

Within IMPACT-EBP, outcome improvement is modeled as a joint function of all six domains rather than the sum of independent effects. A hospital with strong technology but weak mentorship, for example, may possess sophisticated decision-support tools that clinicians distrust or bypass; a unit with strong mentorship but weak policy may sustain excellent practice locally while failing to influence system-wide standards. The framework is used throughout the

remainder of this paper as an interpretive lens for reviewing profession-specific evidence.

3 EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE: DEFINITIONS, MODELS, AND EVOLUTION

3.1 From Evidence-Based Medicine to Evidence-Based Practice

Evidence-based medicine (EBM) was originally defined as the integration of individual clinical expertise with the best available external clinical evidence from systematic research. As other health professions adopted the paradigm, the terminology broadened to evidence-based practice, reflecting the reality that pharmacists, nurses, therapists, and public health practitioners draw on distinct evidentiary bases — including qualitative research, implementation science, and population health data — that extend beyond the randomized controlled trial hierarchy central to classical EBM.

3.2 The Three-Circle Model

Across professions, EBP is consistently described through a three-circle model: best available evidence, clinical or professional expertise, and patient values and circumstances. The model resists a hierarchical reading in which evidence automatically overrides expertise or preference; rather, it depicts a negotiated decision space in which all three elements are weighed for each clinical encounter.

3.3 Hierarchies and Grading of Evidence

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of well-conducted randomized controlled trials generally occupy the top of traditional evidence hierarchies, followed by individual randomized trials, cohort studies, case-control studies, case series, and expert opinion. The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach has become widely adopted because it evaluates not only study design but also risk of bias, consistency, directness, precision, and publication bias, producing a more nuanced certainty rating than design-based hierarchies alone.

3.4 The Five-Step EBP Process

Ask: Formulate an answerable clinical question, typically structured using the PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) format.

Acquire: Search efficiently for the best available evidence using databases such as MEDLINE, CINAHL, the Cochrane Library, and profession-specific repositories.

Appraise: Critically evaluate the validity, impact, and applicability of the evidence retrieved.

Apply: Integrate the appraised evidence with clinical expertise and patient values to inform a decision.

Assess: Evaluate the outcome of the applied decision and the effectiveness of the process itself.

This five-step cycle underlies virtually every professional EBP curriculum in use today, from undergraduate nursing programs to postgraduate medical and pharmacy residencies, and forms the pedagogical basis for continuing professional development requirements administered by bodies such as the SCFHS.

3.5 Barriers Embedded in the Historical Evolution of EBP

Early critiques of EBM warned that an overly narrow focus on trial evidence could marginalize clinical judgment, disadvantage conditions with weak trial evidence, and produce guideline-driven rather than patient-centered care. These critiques remain relevant: contemporary EBP training across professions now explicitly teaches clinicians to weigh evidence quality against applicability to the individual patient, particularly for populations under-represented in trials, including older adults, pregnant patients, and those with multiple chronic conditions.

4 EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE ACROSS HEALTH PROFESSIONS

Although the underlying five-step logic of EBP is shared, each profession applies it to a distinct scope of practice, evidentiary tradition, and outcome set. This section reviews profession-specific applications, emphasizing the outcome domains most directly affected in each case.

4.1 Medicine

In medicine, EBP is most visible in the adoption of clinical practice guidelines for conditions such as sepsis, acute coronary syndrome, stroke, and diabetes. Guideline-concordant care has been associated with reductions in mortality and complication rates, most robustly documented in time-critical conditions where protocolized bundles — such as early antibiotic administration and fluid resuscitation in sepsis — compress the interval between diagnosis and evidence-based intervention. Diagnostic and therapeutic decision-making increasingly incorporates clinical decision support embedded in electronic health records, which prompts guideline-concordant ordering at the point of care.

4.2 Nursing

Nursing has arguably institutionalized EBP more comprehensively than any other profession, through mechanisms such as unit-based EBP councils, nurse-sensitive quality indicators, and Magnet Recognition Program criteria that explicitly require structures for evidence appraisal and translation. Evidence-based nursing protocols for pressure injury prevention, fall prevention, catheter-associated urinary tract infection avoidance, and central line care bundles have produced some of the most consistently replicated outcome improvements in the quality literature, in part because nursing-sensitive outcomes are closely tied to bedside process adherence that nurses directly control.

4.3 Pharmacy

Pharmacist-led medication reconciliation, antimicrobial stewardship, and pharmacokinetic dosing protocols represent some of the clearest examples of EBP translating directly into reduced adverse drug events, shorter hospital stays, and lower rates of antimicrobial resistance. Clinical pharmacists embedded in multidisciplinary rounds have been shown to reduce prescribing errors substantially by applying evidence-based dosing algorithms and identifying drug interactions before they reach the patient.

4.4 Rehabilitation Professions: Physical, Occupational, and Respiratory Therapy

Rehabilitation professions have developed robust outcome measurement traditions — including standardized functional scales — that make EBP effects unusually visible. Evidence-based early mobilization protocols in intensive care units, for instance, have been associated with reduced ventilator days, shorter ICU stays, and improved functional status at discharge. Respiratory therapy protocols for ventilator weaning, guided by explicit evidence-based criteria rather than physician intuition alone, have been shown to shorten mechanical ventilation duration.

4.5 Laboratory and Radiologic Sciences

Diagnostic professions contribute to EBP outcomes primarily through evidence-based test utilization and imaging appropriateness criteria, which reduce unnecessary radiation exposure, contrast-related complications, and diagnostic delay. Structured reporting templates and evidence-based critical-value notification protocols shorten the interval between abnormal findings and clinical action, a determinant of outcome in time-sensitive diagnoses such as pulmonary embolism and acute stroke.

4.6 Dentistry

Evidence-based dentistry has reshaped practice in areas such as caries risk

assessment, minimally invasive restorative techniques, and antibiotic prophylaxis for infective endocarditis, moving practice away from historically routine but poorly supported interventions. Outcome effects are most measurable in reduced restorative retreatment rates and more judicious antibiotic use, which in turn contributes to broader antimicrobial stewardship goals.

4.7 Optometry and Audiology

Evidence-based screening protocols for diabetic retinopathy, glaucoma, and pediatric hearing loss enable earlier detection and intervention, directly influencing long-term functional outcomes such as preserved vision and language development. Standardized, evidence-based referral criteria reduce unwarranted variation in specialist referral rates while ensuring that higher-risk patients are identified promptly.

4.8 Paramedicine and Emergency Medical Services

Prehospital care has moved decisively toward protocol-driven, evidence-based interventions, including evidence-based cardiac arrest resuscitation algorithms, stroke recognition tools, and trauma triage criteria. In the Saudi context, the Saudi Red Crescent Authority (SRCA) has progressively adopted evidence-based prehospital protocols supported by continuing education requirements, contributing to more consistent scene-to-hospital handoff and earlier initiation of time-critical interventions.

4.9 Public Health and Preventive Medicine

At the population level, evidence-based public health applies the same appraisal logic to interventions such as vaccination programs, screening initiatives, and health promotion campaigns. Outcome measurement here shifts from individual clinical indicators to population-level metrics, including disease incidence, screening uptake, and health behavior change, and depends heavily on surveillance infrastructure to detect intervention effects over time.

Profession	Representative EBP Application	Primary Outcome Domain Affected
Medicine	Sepsis and stroke care bundles	Mortality, complication rate
Nursing	Pressure injury and fall-prevention protocols	Patient safety indicators
Pharmacy	Antimicrobial stewardship, dosing algorithms	Adverse drug events, resistance rates
Physical/Respiratory Therapy	Early mobilization, ventilator weaning protocols	Length of stay, functional status
Laboratory/Radiology	Imaging appropriateness criteria	Diagnostic delay, radiation exposure
Dentistry	Minimally invasive caries management	Retreatment rate, antibiotic use
Optometry/Audiology	Evidence-based screening protocols	Early detection, functional preservation

Profession	Representative EBP Application	Primary Outcome Domain Affected
Paramedicine	Cardiac arrest and trauma triage protocols	Prehospital survival, handoff quality
Public Health	Vaccination and screening programs	Population incidence, uptake rates

5 MECHANISMS LINKING EBP TO HEALTHCARE OUTCOMES

Understanding why EBP affects outcomes requires attention to the causal mechanisms that connect evidence-based process adherence to measurable results, rather than assuming a direct and uniform relationship.

5.1 Reduction of Unwarranted Variation

A substantial share of preventable harm and cost in healthcare arises not from ignorance of best practice but from unwarranted variation in how care is delivered across clinicians, units, and institutions. EBP reduces this variation by standardizing decisions around the best available evidence, narrowing the gap between top- and bottom-performing providers and thereby raising average outcome levels.

5.2 Earlier and More Reliable Detection of Deterioration

Evidence-based early warning scores and structured assessment tools improve the reliability with which clinical deterioration is detected, particularly by less experienced staff, shifting response from reactive to anticipatory and reducing failure-to-rescue events.

5.3 Reduction of Low-Value and Harmful Care

EBP identifies and discourages interventions that evidence shows to be ineffective or harmful, such as unnecessary antibiotic prescribing, low-value imaging, or prolonged bed rest. Removing low-value care simultaneously reduces harm and cost, producing a dual outcome benefit not achievable through the addition of new interventions alone.

5.4 Standardization That Enables Reliable Teamwork

Standardized, evidence-based protocols create a shared mental model across interprofessional teams, which is a prerequisite for the closed-loop communication and mutual support behaviors emphasized in TeamSTEPPS. Where every team member expects the same evidence-based sequence of actions, deviations are more easily recognized and corrected before they cause harm.

5.5 Continuous Feedback and Learning Loops

Assessment mechanisms embedded in EBP — audit and feedback, outcome registries, morbidity and mortality review — convert individual clinical encounters into organizational learning. Over time, this feedback loop allows evidence-based protocols themselves to be refined in response to local outcome data, producing continuous rather than one-time improvement.

> The outcome value of evidence-based practice is realized not at the moment evidence is adopted, but through the feedback loop that follows its application.
> — Mechanism synthesis

6 THE SAUDI ARABIAN CONTEXT: VISION 2030 AND THE NATIONAL EBP ARCHITECTURE

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's Health Sector Transformation Program, one of the executive programs under Vision 2030, has positioned evidence-based, value-driven, and integrated care as central objectives of national health reform. This transformation has been accompanied by the maturation of an institutional architecture that increasingly embeds EBP requirements into licensing, accreditation, procurement, and reimbursement.

6.1 The Saudi Commission for Health Specialties (SCFHS)

SCFHS governs professional licensure and continuing professional development for the majority of health professions in the Kingdom. Its continuing education point requirements, specialty training curricula, and scope-of-practice determinations increasingly reference evidence-based competency standards, positioning the Commission as a primary lever for embedding EBP literacy across the workforce, from postgraduate medical trainees to allied health practitioners.

6.2 The Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI)

CBAHI accreditation standards require hospitals and ambulatory facilities to demonstrate structured quality improvement programs, evidence-based clinical protocols, and outcome monitoring systems. Because accreditation status affects institutional reputation, referral relationships, and in many cases eligibility for certain contracts, CBAHI functions as a strong external incentive for the Infrastructure and Assessment domains of the IMPACT-EBP framework.

6.3 The Saudi Food and Drug Authority (SFDA)

SFDA's evidence-based drug approval, pharmacovigilance, and medical device regulation processes intersect directly with pharmacy and prescribing practice, ensuring that the medications and devices available to Saudi clinicians have themselves been evaluated against an evidentiary standard before entering clinical use.

6.4 Nphies: The National Platform for Health Information Exchange Services

Nphies, the unified national platform for claims, eligibility, and health information exchange, generates large-scale, real-time utilization data. As this data infrastructure matures, it creates the technical foundation for population-level outcome monitoring and for identifying unwarranted variation in evidence-based care delivery across providers and regions — a capability central to the Technology and Assessment domains of IMPACT-EBP.

6.5 Mumaris+ and Workforce Licensing

The Mumaris+ platform manages professional licensing and credential verification, linking continuing education compliance, including EBP-related training, to the ongoing right to practice. This linkage gives regulatory weight to EBP competency requirements that might otherwise remain aspirational.

6.6 The King Abdullah International Medical Research Center (KAIMRC)

KAIMRC and affiliated research centers provide the national research capacity necessary to generate regionally relevant evidence, rather than relying solely on evidence generated in other health systems whose population characteristics, disease patterns, and care delivery structures may differ substantially from the Saudi context. Locally generated evidence strengthens the applicability step of the EBP process and supports the development of national clinical practice guidelines suited to local epidemiology.

6.7 Alignment with Vision 2030 Health Sector Objectives

Taken together, these institutions constitute a coordinated, if still maturing, national infrastructure for EBP that extends well beyond individual clinician

training. Vision 2030's emphasis on shifting from a volume-based to a value-based health system depends structurally on this architecture: value-based purchasing and integrated care models are only meaningful where outcomes are reliably measured and where care processes are grounded in evidence rather than historical convention.

7 BARRIERS AND FACILITATORS TO EBP IMPLEMENTATION

7.1 Individual-Level Barriers

Limited time to search, appraise, and apply evidence during clinical shifts

Variable statistical and critical-appraisal literacy across professions and career stages

Skepticism toward guidelines perceived as disconnected from local patient populations

Resistance to changing established habitual practice patterns

7.2 Organizational-Level Barriers

Insufficient staffing to support protocol-consistent care during peak demand

Fragmented or non-interoperable clinical information systems

Absence of dedicated EBP mentorship or unit-based champion roles

Weak or inconsistent audit-and-feedback cycles

7.3 System-Level Barriers

Uneven distribution of research infrastructure across regions and facility types

Gaps between national guideline development and local adaptation

Reimbursement structures that do not consistently reward evidence-based value over volume

7.4 Facilitators

Embedded clinical decision support integrated into everyday workflow rather than added as a separate step

Protected, paid time allocated specifically for evidence appraisal and journal clubs

Visible leadership sponsorship that signals institutional priority for evidence-based standards

Interprofessional education that builds shared appraisal skills and a common evidentiary language across professions

Regulatory alignment, such as CBAHI standards and SCFHS continuing education requirements, that reinforces evidence-based expectations across the career lifespan

8 INTERPROFESSIONAL COLLABORATION AND EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE

Because contemporary care is co-produced across professional boundaries, the outcome effect of EBP is substantially mediated by the quality of interprofessional collaboration. D'Amour and Oandasan's framework for interprofessionality identifies shared goals, structured communication, and mutual role recognition as necessary conditions for collaborative practice, all of which directly affect whether evidence-based recommendations generated by one profession are understood, trusted, and enacted by others.

8.1 Shared Decision Architecture

Interprofessional rounds, shared care plans, and joint case conferences create structured opportunities for evidence to be discussed and reconciled across professional perspectives before it reaches the patient. Units that formalize these structures consistently report fewer conflicting instructions to patients and families, a source of confusion that can itself undermine adherence to evidence-based treatment plans.

8.2 Role Clarity and Scope-of-Practice Alignment

Ambiguity about which profession is responsible for initiating, monitoring, or adjusting an evidence-based protocol creates diffusion of responsibility that can delay time-critical interventions. Clear

scope-of-practice definitions, of the kind maintained by SCFHS, reduce this ambiguity by specifying which professional roles carry authority for particular evidence-based decisions.

8.3 Psychological Safety and Speaking Up

Evidence-based protocols are only as reliable as the team's willingness to flag deviations. Psychological safety — the shared belief that team members will not be punished for raising concerns — has been repeatedly identified as a precondition for junior staff and non-physician team members to challenge decisions that depart from evidence-based standards, a behavior directly targeted by TeamSTEPPS mutual-support strategies.

8.4 Interprofessional Education as an Upstream Intervention

Because collaborative competency is learned rather than innate, interprofessional education during pre-licensure and postgraduate training has been identified as an upstream intervention that shapes how effectively graduates will later participate in evidence-based, team-based care. Curricula that pair students from different professions around shared clinical evidence exercises have been associated with more positive attitudes toward collaborative practice after graduation.

9 TECHNOLOGY, DATA ANALYTICS, AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN EBP

9.1 Electronic Health Records and Embedded Decision Support

Electronic health records extend EBP beyond individual clinician memory by embedding evidence-based order sets, dosing calculators, and alert systems directly into the clinical workflow. The outcome effect of these tools depends heavily on thoughtful design: poorly calibrated alerts generate alert fatigue and paradoxically reduce attention to genuinely important warnings, illustrating that technology adoption alone does not guarantee outcome benefit without attention to human factors.

9.2 Predictive Analytics and Early Warning Systems

Predictive models that synthesize vital signs, laboratory trends, and clinical documentation to flag patients at risk of deterioration extend the reach of evidence-based early-warning protocols beyond what manual scoring allows, particularly in high-volume settings. Their reliability depends on ongoing local validation, since models trained on data from other populations may perform less accurately when deployed without recalibration.

9.3 Artificial Intelligence in Diagnostic and Triage Support

Artificial intelligence applications in radiologic image interpretation, laboratory result flagging, and prehospital triage are increasingly used to support, rather than replace, evidence-based clinical judgment. Appropriately governed AI tools can standardize the application of evidence-based criteria at a scale and consistency that manual review cannot match, while requiring new forms of oversight to ensure that automated recommendations remain aligned with the underlying evidence base as it evolves.

9.4 National Data Infrastructure and Population-Level Learning

Platforms such as Nphies illustrate how national-scale data infrastructure can support population-level EBP by identifying variation in evidence-based care delivery across providers and regions, informing where additional mentorship, infrastructure investment, or policy intervention is most needed. This represents a shift from EBP as an individual clinical skill to EBP as a system-level analytic capability.

9.5 Governance and Responsible Adoption

The introduction of AI and advanced analytics into evidence-based workflows raises governance questions regarding

transparency, bias, accountability, and the ongoing need for human oversight. Responsible adoption frameworks generally require that AI-generated recommendations remain traceable to their underlying evidence base and that clinicians retain the authority and training necessary to recognize when automated outputs should be questioned.

10 MEASURING THE OUTCOMES OF EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE

Rigorous evaluation of EBP requires outcome measures appropriate to the clinical domain and time horizon under study. This section categorizes the outcome indicators most commonly used across the health professions literature.

Outcome Category	Representative Indicators	Typical Data Source
Clinical/Mortality	In-hospital mortality, 30-day mortality, risk-adjusted survival	Vital statistics, clinical registries
Safety	Healthcare-associated infection rates, pressure injury rates, fall rates, medication error rates	Incident reporting systems, surveillance data
Efficiency	Length of stay, readmission rates, time-to-treatment intervals	Administrative and EHR data
Economic	Cost per case, avoidable utilization, resource consumption	Claims and billing systems, e.g., Nphies
Patient Experience	Satisfaction scores, shared decision-making measures	Patient experience surveys
Workforce	Burnout indices, turnover rates, EBP self-efficacy scores	Staff surveys, human resources data
Process Fidelity	Guideline adherence rates, protocol compliance audits	Chart audit, quality dashboards

10.1 Attribution and Confounding

A persistent methodological challenge in EBP outcome research is attribution: because EBP initiatives are rarely implemented in isolation from concurrent staffing changes, technology rollouts, or leadership transitions, isolating the specific contribution of evidence-based process change from other simultaneous improvements requires careful study design, such as interrupted time-series analysis or stepped-wedge implementation, rather than simple before-and-after comparison.

10.2 Balancing Measures

Robust evaluation frameworks pair outcome measures with balancing measures that detect unintended consequences, such as monitoring whether efforts to reduce one safety event inadvertently increase clinician workload to a degree that introduces new risks elsewhere in the system.

10.3 Time Horizon Considerations

Some outcome benefits of EBP, such as reduced infection rates following a new bundle, are detectable within weeks; others, such as workforce retention effects of a more evidence-engaged practice culture, may only become apparent over

multi-year horizons, arguing for sustained rather than short-cycle evaluation windows.

11 ILLUSTRATIVE APPLICATIONS ACROSS CARE SETTINGS

11.1 Intensive Care: Ventilator-Associated Event Prevention

Bundled, evidence-based interventions for mechanically ventilated patients — including head-of-bed elevation, sedation interruption protocols, and oral care standards — illustrate the IMPACT-EBP framework in miniature. Infrastructure provides the equipment and staffing to execute the bundle consistently; mentorship sustains staff fidelity to sedation-interruption protocols that require ongoing judgment; policy mandates bundle compliance through institutional standards; assessment tracks compliance and infection rates; collaboration ensures nursing, respiratory therapy, and physician teams execute the bundle in coordination; and technology, in the form of EHR-embedded reminders, prompts each bundle element at the appropriate time.

11.2 Surgical Services: Surgical Safety Checklists

The evidence-based surgical safety checklist demonstrates how a deceptively simple, standardized tool can improve outcomes primarily through its collaborative and communicative function rather than through the checklist items themselves: the structured pause before incision creates a moment of shared situational awareness across surgery, anesthesia, and nursing teams that has been associated with reduced complication and mortality rates in diverse settings.

11.3 Chronic Disease Management: Diabetes Care Pathways

Evidence-based diabetes care pathways, coordinating physicians, diabetes educators, dietitians, and pharmacists around shared glycemic targets and medication algorithms, illustrate how EBP outcome effects extend into ambulatory and chronic disease contexts, where the relevant outcomes — glycemic control, complication prevention, hospitalization avoidance — accrue over years rather than days.

11.4 Prehospital Care: Stroke Recognition and Rapid Transport

Evidence-based prehospital stroke scales used by paramedics to identify likely stroke patients and trigger rapid transport to appropriately resourced facilities shorten the interval between symptom onset and definitive treatment, an interval with a well-established relationship to long-term functional outcome, demonstrating how evidence-based protocols outside the hospital walls shape outcomes measured within them.

12 POLICY AND PRACTICE RECOMMENDATIONS

12.1 For Health System and Hospital Leadership

Establish protected, paid time for evidence appraisal activities rather than treating EBP engagement as an unfunded expectation.

Fund and formally recognize unit-based EBP champion and mentorship roles across all health professions, not medicine and nursing alone.

Integrate audit-and-feedback dashboards into routine unit governance rather than reserving them for periodic accreditation cycles.

Design clinical decision support with front-line clinician input to minimize alert fatigue and maximize trust.

12.2 For Professional Regulators and Educators

Embed cross-professional critical appraisal and interprofessional collaboration competencies into pre-licensure curricula across all health professions.

Align continuing professional development point requirements with demonstrated EBP application rather than passive course completion alone.
Expand interprofessional education opportunities that pair students from different professions around shared clinical evidence exercises.

12.3 For National Health System Policy
Continue strengthening national data infrastructure, including Nphies-linked analytics, to enable population-level identification of unwarranted variation in evidence-based care.
Support locally generated research through KAIMRC and affiliated centers to ensure clinical practice guidelines reflect Saudi population characteristics and disease patterns.
Align reimbursement and value-based purchasing mechanisms with demonstrated evidence-based process and outcome performance.
Ensure equitable distribution of EBP infrastructure and mentorship resources across regions, including facilities outside major urban centers.

13 FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND RESEARCH AGENDA

Several directions warrant continued attention as EBP continues to mature as a system-level capability rather than an individual clinical skill.
Longitudinal, multi-year studies capable of detecting workforce and cultural outcomes of EBP investment, which unfold over longer horizons than clinical process measures.
Implementation science methods that isolate the specific contribution of EBP initiatives from concurrent organizational change.
Regionally representative research addressing the applicability of internationally generated evidence to Saudi and Gulf-region populations.
Governance frameworks for artificial intelligence tools that keep pace with the speed of technological adoption in clinical decision support.
Cross-professional outcome registries that allow the joint contribution of multiple professions to a shared patient outcome to be measured, rather than attributing outcomes to a single discipline.
Economic evaluation methods that capture the full value of EBP investment, including avoided harm and workforce retention effects, alongside direct cost measures.

14 CONCLUSION

Evidence-based practice has become the shared language through which health professions describe good clinical decision-making, yet its effect on healthcare outcomes is neither automatic nor uniform. The evidence reviewed in this paper indicates that outcome improvement depends on the simultaneous alignment of infrastructure, mentorship, policy, assessment, collaboration, and technology — the six domains synthesized here as the IMPACT-EBP framework. Across medicine, nursing, pharmacy, rehabilitation, diagnostic, dental, sensory, prehospital, and public health professions, the strongest and most consistently replicated outcome gains occur where evidence-based protocols are embedded in team-based, technologically supported, and institutionally reinforced systems of care, rather than left to individual clinician initiative alone.
For the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the maturing architecture of SCFHS licensure standards, CBAHI accreditation, SFDA regulation, Nphies data infrastructure, Mumaris+ credentialing, and KAIMRC-coordinated research provides a distinctive national platform on which to build system-level EBP capability in alignment with the outcome-oriented ambitions of Vision 2030. Sustaining and extending the outcome benefits of evidence-based

practice will require continued investment across all six IMPACT-EBP domains, sustained interprofessional collaboration, and evaluation frameworks capable of capturing benefits that unfold across both short and extended time horizons.

15 REFERENCES

Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions. (2023). National hospital accreditation standards (6th ed.). CBAHI.

D'Amour, D., & Oandasan, I. (2005). Interprofessionality as the field of interprofessional practice and interprofessional education: An emerging concept. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 19(Suppl. 1), 8–20.

Dang, D., Dearholt, S. L., Bissett, K., Ascenzi, J., & Whalen, M. (2022). Johns Hopkins evidence-based practice for nurses and healthcare professionals: Model and guidelines (4th ed.). Sigma Theta Tau International.

Donabedian, A. (1988). The quality of care: How can it be assessed? JAMA, 260(12), 1743–1748.

Guyatt, G., Oxman, A. D., Vist, G. E., Kunz, R., Falck-Ytter, Y., Alonso-Coello, P., & Schünemann, H. J. (2008). GRADE: An emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ, 336(7650), 924–926.

Institute of Medicine. (2001). Crossing the quality chasm: A new health system for the 21st century. National Academies Press.

Interprofessional Education Collaborative. (2016). Core competencies for interprofessional collaborative practice: 2016 update. IPEC.

King Abdullah International Medical Research Center. (2023). Annual research report. KAIMRC.

Melnyk, B. M., & Fineout-Overholt, E. (2023). Evidence-based practice in nursing and healthcare: A guide to best practice (5th ed.). Wolters Kluwer.

Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. (2022). Health sector transformation strategy. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Vision 2030.

National Health Information Center. (2023). Nphies platform overview: National platform for health information exchange services. Saudi Health Council.

Reason, J. (2000). Human error: Models and management. BMJ, 320(7237), 768–770.

Saudi Commission for Health Specialties. (2023). Continuing professional development program guide. SCFHS.

Saudi Food and Drug Authority. (2022). Pharmacovigilance and post-marketing surveillance guidance. SFDA.

Sackett, D. L., Rosenberg, W. M., Gray, J. A., Haynes, R. B., & Richardson, W. S. (1996). Evidence based medicine: What it is and what it isn't. BMJ, 312(7023), 71–72.

Stevens, K. R. (2013). The impact of evidence-based practice in nursing and the next big ideas. OJIN: The Online Journal of Issues in Nursing, 18(2).

Titler, M. G., Kleiber, C., Steelman, V. J., Rakel, B. A., Budreau, G., Everett, L. Q., Buckwalter, K. C., Tripp-Reimer, T., & Goode, C. J. (2001). The Iowa model of evidence-based practice to promote quality care. Critical Care Nursing Clinics of North America, 13(4), 497–509.

World Health Organization. (2021). Global strategy on human resources for health: Workforce 2030. WHO.

World Health Organization. (2023). Global patient safety action plan 2021–2030. WHO.